EXECUTIVE DECISION

made by a Cabinet Member



REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN UNDER DELEGATED AUTHORITY BY AN INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER

Executive Decision Reference Number - L40 24/25

Decision

- **Title of decision:** Lipson Vale Phase I Trefusis Park Flood Defence (Trefusis Park Flood Relief Scheme)
- 2 Decision maker: Councillor Tudor Evans OBE (Leader of the Council)
- **Report author and contact details:** Sarah Durbridge (Civil Engineer)

Tel: 01752 308681 Email: sarah.durbridge@plymouth.gov.uk

4 Decision to be taken:

It is recommended that the Leader:

- 1. Approves the Trefusis Park Flood Relief Scheme Capital Business Case;
- 2. Approves the allocation of £3,784,658 within the Capital Programme for the Trefusis Park Flood Relief Scheme;
- 3. Authorises the procurement process;
- 4. Delegates the award of contracts and any minor variations, plus the approval of the project programme, to the Service Director for Strategic Planning and Infrastructure where they do not already have authority to do so.

5 Reasons for decision:

The business case sets out clear aims and objectives for the project and details how the Scheme is to be financed

To allocate sufficient funding into the Capital Programme in accordance with the funding sources set out in the report.

To provide Procurement with clear authority to commence the procurement process.

6 Alternative options considered and rejected:

The following two options have previously been considered and rejected:

a. Original design option



This design option was considered by members and officers in July 2023. It was rejected on grounds that it would have required approximately 100 trees to be removed, which was felt to be at odds with the environmental focus of the project.

b. Hybrid design option between "Original" design and "Minimum Trees Removed" option



This hybrid option was rejected by members and officers in January 2024. This was because it was the most expensive option and also would still have required the removal of 44 trees, again at odds with the environmental focus of the project.

The following further three options were considered and discounted as part of the full business case submitted to the Environment Agency:

c. Do Nothing Option: Do statutory minimum - Cease all expenditure (capital and revenue), undertake no further flood risk management activities and walk away

This option was discounted because not investing in the improved management of flooding in this area will result in the ongoing chronic flooding of homes and businesses in Lipson Vale. With climate change, the frequency of flooding will increase, resulting in properties becoming unviable and, therefore, abandoned. This will inevitably result in significant social issues related to negative equity, loss of livelihood and homelessness. Decaying abandoned properties would also be a significant blight on the wider area's economic activity.

d. Do Minimum Option: Clean and rehabilitate the existing system

This option was also discounted because not investing in the improved management of flooding in this area will result in the ongoing chronic flooding of homes and businesses in Lipson Vale. With climate change, the frequency of flooding will increase, resulting in properties becoming unviable and, therefore, abandoned. This will inevitably result in significant social issues related to negative equity, loss of livelihood and homelessness. Decaying abandoned properties would also be a significant blight on the wider area's economic activity.

e. Viable Alternative Option: All phases – Trefusis Park Flood Relief Scheme plus additional 95Ha of surface water separation, I.3km sewer upsize and pumping station at outfall

This is a long-term aspiration for the PCC, EA and South West Water (SWW) Integrated Urban Drainage Modelling (IUDM) project but is currently unaffordable.

7 Financial implications and risk:

As this Scheme is fully funded by the EA and DRIP and there is no corporate borrowing, it will not affect the Medium-Term Financial Plan.

Required PCC staff resources, including the Engineering Design Group who have designed and will deliver the Scheme, have been costed within the project budget.

The key identified risks are:

- unauthorised occupation of the site or the compound
- ineffective temporary surface water management works
- UXO unearthed on site
- public or political pressure requiring the number of tipper lorries to be restricted per day
- contaminated land and materials being identified as more hazardous than anticipated
- unavailability of materials
- the Royal Society for the Prevention of Accidents requiring additional safety measures

8	Is the decision a Key Decision? (Please contact <u>Democratic</u>	Yes No		Per the Constitution, a key decision is one which:				
	Support for further advice)	x		in the case of capital projects and contract awards, results in a new commitment to spend and/or save in excess of £3million in total				
			x	in the case of revenue projects when the decision involves entering into new commitments and/or making new savings in excess of £1 million				
			x	is significant in terms of its effect on communities living or working in an area comprising two or more wards in the area of the local authority.				
	If yes, date of publication of the notice in the <u>Forward Plan of Key Decisions</u>	I Nov	24					

Please specify how this decision is linked to the Council's corporate plan/Plymouth Plan and/or the policy framework and/or the revenue/capital budget:

The Scheme will deliver the following Corporate Plan priorities:

- Keeping children, young people and families safe,
- Green investment, jobs, skills, and better education
- Spending money wisely
- Focussing on prevention and early intervention

The Scheme will support the Plymouth Plan and the Joint Local Plan. Full details are set out in the accompanying Briefing Note, but in summary:

Plymouth Plan

- Plymouth as a healthy city
- Plymouth as a growing city
- Plymouth as an international city

Joint Local Plan

- SO12 (Delivering infrastructure and investment):
- SPT1 (Delivering sustainable development):
- SPT2 (Sustainable linked neighbourhoods and sustainable rural communities):
- SPT10 (Balanced transport strategy for growth and healthy and sustainable communities):
- SPT12 (Strategic approach to the natural environment):
- SPT13 (Strategic infrastructure measures to deliver the spatial strategy):
- DEVI (Protecting health and amenity):
- DEV2 (Air, water, soil, noise, land and light):
- DEV20 (Place shaping and the quality of the built environment):
- DEV23 (Landscape character):
- DEV26 (Protecting and enhancing biodiversity and geological conservation):
- DEV27 (Green and play spaces):
- DEV28 (Trees, woodlands and hedgerows):
- DEV32 (Delivering low carbon development):
- DEV 35 (Managing flood risk and water quality impacts):

The Scheme also supports the Local Flood Risk Management Strategy, the Green Minds model and SWW's plans for the city. Full details are set out in the attached Briefing Note.

Please specify any direct environmental implications of the decision (carbon impact)

Climate impact assessment summary

The climate impact assessment is attached to the report (ID TRE166). In summary, the Scheme will provide surface water storage and improve the facilities within Trefusis Park. Most of the outputs are positive with long-term benefits. Where there is no or neutral impact, there is mitigation. For example, for air quality, construction methods will seek to dampen down and control dust created. Following construction, the additional tree planting could help to improve air quality. The Scheme has no renewable energy elements.

Specific environmental benefits provided by the Scheme

Properties better protected from flooding

When the Scheme completes, 21 properties will be better protected from flooding. All the relevant households sit within the 21% to 40% most deprived areas of the country.

Once surface water separation works are completed upstream of Trefusis Park by South West Water, the number of properties better protected from flooding will increase to 147 (SWW cannot undertake their surface water separation works if our Scheme does not proceed).

Carbon

The carbon footprint of the Scheme is the lowest of the options that satisfactorily achieves the Environment Agency's Critical Success Factors. The net carbon outcome of the project has been assessed by the Environment Agency as "Moderate sink" due to the creation of wetland, and riparian planting.

Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG)

The Scheme will deliver BNG of at least 10%. Biodiversity improvements will include:

- Two landscaped SuDS seasonal wetland basins
- Naturalising the watercourse that is currently within a concrete channel
- New landscaping and tree planting
- New permanent wet ecology pond
- New hedgerow down the eastern edge of the park to prevent unauthorised vehicular access to the park (something that has previously been an issue)
- New wildflower meadow areas

Improvements to grassland

0.1 Ha of "Grassland" in "poor" condition before the Scheme will move to "wetlands/wet grassland" in "moderate" condition after construction of the Scheme.

Water quality improvement

There will be a reduction in the volume of surface water entering the combined drainage network, thereby reducing CSO spills. This will result in water quality improvement in the River Plym and a reduction in the amount of energy used to treat wastewater (carbon saving).

Urgent decisions

Is the decision urgent and to be Yes implemented immediately in

(If yes, please contact Democratic Support (democraticsupport@plymouth.gov.uk) for advice)

the interest the public?	ts of the Council or	No	x	(If no, go to section	13a)			
Reason for	urgency:							
Scrutiny Chair Signature:			Date					
Scrutiny Committe e name:								
Print Name:								
sultation								
Are any other Cabinet members' portfolios affected by the decision?		s' Yes	x					
		No		(If no go to section	14)			
			Councillor Tom Briars-Delve (Cabinet Member for Environment and Climate Change)					
Date Cabir	net member consulted	d Regula	Regularly briefed including:					
		2023: J	2023: June, July, October					
		-	2024: January, February, May, August, November					
		2025: J						
Has any Cabinet member declared a conflict of interest in relation to the decision?	Yes		If yes, please discuss with the Monitoring Officer					
	No	x	- Cinical					
Which Corporate Management Team member has been consulted?	Name	•	Paul Barnard					
	Job tit	tle	Service Director for Strategic Planning and Infrastructure					
		Date consu	lted	Regularly consulted throughout the project.				
n-off								
Sign off codes from the relevant departments consulted:		Demo	ocratic Su	pport (mandatory)	DS99 24/25			
		Finan	ce (man	datory)	DJN.24.25.148			
	Reason for Scrutiny Chair Signature: Scrutiny Committe e name: Print Name: sultation Are any ot portfolios a decision? Which oth portfolio is decision? Date Cabin Has any Ca declared a relation to Which Con Team men consulted?	Reason for urgency: Scrutiny Chair Signature: Scrutiny Committe e name: Print Name: sultation Are any other Cabinet members portfolios affected by the decision? Which other Cabinet member's portfolio is affected by the decision? Date Cabinet member consulted Has any Cabinet member declared a conflict of interest in relation to the decision? Which Corporate Management Team member has been consulted?	Reason for urgency: Scrutiny Chair Signature: Scrutiny Committe e name: Print Name: Sultation Are any other Cabinet members' portfolios affected by the decision? Which other Cabinet member's portfolio is affected by the decision? Date Cabinet member consulted Pagula 2023: J 2024: J 2025: J Which Corporate Management relation to the decision? Which Corporate Management Team member has been consulted? No Which Corporate Management Team member has been consulted? Sign off codes from the relevant departments consulted:	Reason for urgency: Scrutiny Chair Signature: Scrutiny Committe e name: Print Name: Isultation Are any other Cabinet members' portfolios affected by the decision? Which other Cabinet member's portfolio is affected by the decision? Councillor Tom and Climate Chadecision? Date Cabinet member consulted Regularly briefed 2023: June, July, 62024: January, Fe 2025: January Has any Cabinet member declared a conflict of interest in relation to the decision? Which Corporate Management Team member has been consulted? No x Which Corporate Management Job title Date consulted? Sign off codes from the relevant departments consulted:	Reason for urgency: Committe			

			Legal (mandatory)				/1/25						
			Human Resources (if applicable)				N/A	N/A					
			Corpo	Corporate property (if applicable)					N/A				
			Procurement (if applicable)					SN/P	SN/PS/768/ED/0125				
Αp	pend	lices											
17	Re f.												
	Α	Briefing Note											
	В	Equalities Impact Assessment											
	С	Climate Impact Assessment											
Con	ıfideı	ntial/exempt information											
	-	ou need to include any idential/exempt information?	Yes	x	If yes, prepare a second, confidential ('Part II') briefing report and indicate why it is not for								
			No		publication by virtue of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972 by ticking the relevant box in 18b below.								
				(Keep as much information as possible in the briefing report that will be in the public domain)									
					Exe	emption	Paragra	ph Num	ber				
			I	2	2	3	4	5	6	7			
		fidential/exempt briefing ort title:				x							
	C	apital Investment Business Case											
Вас	kgro	und Papers											
19	Pleas	e list all unpublished, background pa	apers rele	evant t	o the	decision	in the tab	le below					
	Background papers are <u>unpublished</u> works, relied on to a material extent in preparing the report, which disclose facts or matters on which the report or an important part of the work is based. If some/all of the information is confidential, you must indicate why it is not for publication by virtue of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972 by ticking the relevant box.												
			Exemption Paragraph Number										
	Tit	tle of background paper(s)			Ex	emptio	n Paragra	ph Nun	nber				

Outline Business Case for Environment Agency Flood Defence Grant in Aid funding Legal (mandatory)

LS/00001312/1/AC/14

est	•	sheet detailing landscaping and maintenance costs up Year 6	to						
Ca	binet M e	mber Signature							
20	I agree the decision and confirm that it is not contrary to the Council's policy and budget framework, Corporate Plan or Budget. In taking this decision I have given due regard to the Council's duty to promote equality of opportunity, eliminate unlawful discrimination and promote good relations between people who share protected characteristics under the Equalities Act and those who do not. For further details please see the EIA attached.								
Sig	nature	Tholar 2	Date of de	cision	I2th February 2025				
		MOIN C	3						